Chiral amine-thioureas bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors: highly efficient organocatalysts for asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins†

Chun-Jiang Wang,* Zhi-Hai Zhang, Xiu-Qin Dong and Xiao-Jun Wu

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 10th December 2007, Accepted 26th December 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd January 2008

DOI: 10.1039/b718949d

New bifunctional organocatalysts amine-thioureas bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors were synthesized and applied in catalytic asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to arvl and alkyl nitroolefins. Multiple hydrogen bonding donors play a significant role in accelerating reactions, improving yields and enantioselectivities.

Recently, the design and application of organocatalysts have received much attention due to asymmetric organocatalysis emerging as a powerful and environmentally friendly methodology for the catalytic production of the valuable synthetic building blocks. Impressive progress has been made in the development of small chiral molecules bearing hydrogen bonding donors for a diverse range of reactions with high enantioselectivities attributed to their strong activation of carbonyl or nitro groups through efficient double hydrogen bonding interactions.² Of the developed organocatalysts, bifunctional amine-thioureas have been proved to be powerful and have been applied successfully in asymmetric Michael addition reactions³ (Fig. 1). Nonetheless, catalyst loading of as high as 20-30 mol% and long reaction times are usually required to achieve good isolated yields and high enantioselectivities for amine-thiourea catalyzed asymmetric reactions. 1d,4 Therefore, the development of highly efficient chiral amine-thiourea catalysts, which show high enantioselectivity and fast reaction rate for a broad scope of substrates at low catalyst loading, is still in great demand.

Considering the importance of the double hydrogen bonding interactions between the thiourea moiety and substrates in amine-thiourea catalyzed asymmetric reactions,5 we envisioned that amine-thioureas bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors as shown in Scheme 1 could facilitate forming more hydrogen bonds⁶ and thereby significantly enhance their catalytic activity and overcome the drawback of high catalyst loading. To the best of our knowledge, this strategy has not yet been applied in the design and synthesis of chiral amine-thiourea organocatalysts.⁷ Herein, we report a new class of aminethiourea catalysts bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors which efficiently catalyze asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins with both high yields and high

College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University, 430072, China. E-mail: cjwang@whu.edu.cn; Fax: +86 (0)2768754067; Tel: +86(0)2765241880

enantioselectivities up to 99% ee using as low as to 0.1-1 mol% catalyst loading.

In the presence of 10 mol\% 3a-d, the reaction of nitroolefin (4a) with acetylacetone (5) was initially examined under different conditions. The results are summarized in Table 1. To our delight, the newly designed catalysts exhibited high catalytic efficiency. The reactions were finished in less than 0.5 h at room temperature when ether was used as the solvent.8 Chiral catalyst 3a promoted the reaction with a high yield of 97% but a moderate enantioselectivity (76% ee, entry 1). Gratifyingly, its isomer catalyst 3b afforded a superior level of enantioselectivity with the same sense of asymmetric induction (93% ee, entry 2). This indicates that the (R,R)-1,2diphenylethenediamine moiety matched the (R,R)-cyclohexanediamine moiety and the configurations of the products were determined mainly by the latter, but the enantioselective control could be greatly enhanced by the former. Replacing the Ts group of 3b with a less bulky group (Ms) decreased the enantioselectivity (89% ee) due to the steric flexibility of catalyst 3c (entry 3). The highest enantioselectivity of 97% ee was observed with 3d as catalyst (entry 4), which is presumably attributed to the presence of the additional stronger hydrogen bonding donor from sulfonamide NHSO2Ar with two electron-withdrawing groups CF₃ on the aromatic ring. The change of solvents has a remarkable effect on the enantioselectivity and catalytic activity. In polar solvents, the reaction became sluggish and displayed lower ee presumably due to the competitive activation of 4a between 3d and the

Fig. 1 Examples of reported chiral bifunctional amine-thiourea catalysts.

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section. See DOI: 10.1039/b718949d

Scheme 1 Synthesis of amine-thiourea catalysts bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors.

protic solvent such as methanol (entry 5), or the reduced activity of 3d caused by strongly H-bonding acceptor solvents such as DMSO, MeCN and THF (entries 6, 7 and 8). In contrast, 3d in nonpolar or less polar solvents, such DCM, PhMe and ether, efficiently promoted the reaction in high yield with good to excellent enantioselectivities within less than 1h (entries 4, 9 and 10). Ether was the best solvent of choice and 97% ee was obtained. Reducing the reaction temperature from room temperature to 0 and -20 °C did not improve the enantioselectivities (entries 11 and 12). Further optimization showed that high yield and enantioselectivity and fast reaction rate remained when the reaction was performed with as low as 1 mol% of catalyst loading (entry 14). Even when the catalyst loading was reduced to 0.1 mol%, a comparable result (95% ee, 85% yield) was still achieved with extended reaction time (entry 15).

Under the optimized experimental conditions, the scope of the reaction was explored (Table 2). A variety of aryl nitroolefins (4a-k) reacted smoothly with acetylacetone (5) to afford the corresponding products (6a-k) in high yields (87–97%) and excellent enantioselectivities (95–99% ee) in the presence of 1 mol% of catalyst 3d at room temperature within 1-2 h. It appears that the position and the electronic property of the substituents on the aromatic rings have a very limited effect on the enantioselectivities. Noticeably, alkyl nitroolefins also work well in this reaction with 1-5 mol% catalyst loading: alkyl nitroolefins with sterically hindered or unhindered substitutents (41-n) led to similar enantioselectivities of 82-85% ee (entries 12-15). To the best of our knowledge, no example of the asymmetric addition of acetylacetone to alkyl nitroolefins has been described so far, probably due to the fact that they are less reactive than aryl nitroolefins. 10 Actually, extended reaction time and 5 mol% catalyst loading were needed to complete the reactions compared with needing only 0.1–1 mol% catalyst and 1–2 h for aryl nitroolefins.

Interestingly, this Michael addition reaction could also be carried out without solvent. Mixing nitroolefin (4a) with

Table 1 Screening studies of organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone and nitroolefins^a

^a Unless otherwise noted, the reaction was carried out with 0.15 mmol of 4a and 0.30 mmol of 5 in 0.35 mL of solvent.
^b Isolated yield.
^c Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral HPLC analysis.
^d The absolute configuration of the product was determined as R by comparing the optical rotation with the reported date.

acetylacetone (5) (1:2 ratio) in the presence of 1 mol% 3d afforded the product in >99% yield with 94% ee within 2 h.

The asymmetric Michael addition of other diketones to nitroolefin **4a** using **3d** as a catalyst was also investigated. As

Table 2 Asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins (4) catalyzed by organocatalyst $3d^{\alpha}$

 a Unless otherwise noted, the reactions was carried out with 0.15 mmol of 4 and 0.30 mmol of 5 in 0.35 mL of ether within 1–2 h. b Isolated yield. c Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. d 5 mol% catalyst was used and the reactions completed in 16–28 h. e 1 mol% catalyst was used and the reaction completed in 36 h.

12 h, 95% yield, 85% ee

10 h, 92% yield, 96% ee (major) and 90% ee (minor), dr: 85:15

Scheme 2 Asymmetric Michael addition of other diketones to nitroolefin 4a catalyzed by 3d.

shown in Scheme 2, 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dione gave the desired product in 95% yield with 85% ee, which is the first report using this diketone in this asymmetric Michael addition. Unsymmetrical 2-acetylcyclopentanone also worked well to give the desired products with good diastereoselectivity (85:15) and excellent enantioselectivity (96 and 90% ee for the major and the minor diastereomer respectively) which is comparable with the two best results reported in the literature. 5d,12

In order to evaluate the role of the multiple hydrogen bonding donors played in this system, a control experiment was carried out using 10 mol% **3e** as catalyst (Scheme 1) in which the sulfonamide NMeSO₂Ts is methylated. In the Michael addition of acetylacetone to **4a**, the reaction became sluggish and the corresponding product was formed in 80% yield with only 68% ee even in 16 h. This indicates that the third NH of sulfonamide on the 1,2-diphenylethenediamine moiety indeed play a significant role in this Michael addition reaction.¹³

In conclusion, a new class of bifunctional amine-thiourea catalysts bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors was synthesized and evaluated for their ability to catalyze the Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins. We found that multiple hydrogen bonding donors play a key role in accelerating reactions, improving yields and improving enantioselectivities. Further investigation of the efficacy of these organocatalysts in other catalytic asymmetric reactions and the design of new bifunctional catalysts bearing multiple hydrogen bonding donors are ongoing in our lab and will be reported in due course.

The authors thank Dr Wei-Liang Duan and Dr Bo Xu for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20642005) and the start-up fund from Wuhan University.

Notes and references

(a) P. I. Dalko and L. Moisan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001,
40, 3726; (b) P. I. Dalko and L. Moisan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2004,
37, 487–631; (c) Special issue on organocatalysis: P. I. Dalko and L. Moisan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004,
43, 5138; (d) A. Berkessel and H. Gröger, Asymmetric Organocatalysis: From Biomimetic Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis,
Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (e) J. Seayad and B. List, Org.

- Biomol. Chem., 2005, 3, 719; (f) B. List, Chem. Commun., 2006, 819; (g) B. List, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 243–502; (h) Organocatalysis in Organic Synthesis: T. Marcelli, J. H. van Maarseveen and H. Hiemstra, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 7496; (i) C. Palomo and A. Mielgo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 7876.
- 2 (a) M. S. Taylor and E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1520; (b) R. P. Herrera, V. Sgarzani, L. Bernardi and A. Ricci, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6576; (c) S. H. McCooey and S. J. Connon, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6367; (d) A. Berkessel, F. Cleemann, S. Mukheriee, T. N. Muller and J. Lex. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 807; (e) T. P. Yoon and E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 466; (f) M. S. Taylor and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10558; (g) A. G. Wenzel and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12964; (h) M. S. Sigman, P. Vachal and E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 1279; (i) M. S. Sigman and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 4901; (j) D. J. Maher and S. J. Connon, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 1301; (k) Okino, T. Hoashi and Y. Takemoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 2817; (I) A. Wittkopp and P. R. Schreiner, Chem.-Eur. J., 2003, 9, 407; (m) P. R. Schreiner and A. Wittkopp, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 217; (n) D. P. Curran and L. H. Kou, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 6647.
- 3 (a) S. B. Tsogoeva, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 1701; (b) Y. Takemoto, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2005, 30, 4299; (c) S. J. Connon, Chem.–Eur. J., 2006, 12, 5418.
- 4 D. Almaşi, D. A. Alonso and C. Nájera, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2007, 18, 299.
- (a) S. H. McCooey and S. J. Connon, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6367; (b) J. Ye, D. J. Dixon and P. S. Hynes, Chem. Commun., 2005, 4481; (c) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12672; (d) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 119; (e) J. Lubkoll and H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6841.
- 6 Y. H. Liu, L. H. Chen and M. Shi, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 973.
- 7 Other kinds of organocatalysts having multiple hydrogen bonding donors have already been developed, see: (a) R. P. Herrera, V. Sgarzani, L. Bernardi and A. Ricci, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6576; (b) Y. Sohtome, A. Tanatani, Y. Hashimoto and K. Nagasawa, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 5589; (c) A. Berkessel, K. Roland and J. M. Neudorfl, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4195.
- 8 The best results for asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to aryl nitroolefins were obtained with 10 mol% catalyst loading (80% yield and 89% ee in 1 h), see ref. 5d, or 1 mol% catalyst loading (87% yield and 95% ee in 26 h), see: J. Wang, H. Li, W. Duan, L. Zu and W. Wang, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4713
- 9 For organocatalytic transformations with catalyst loadings of less than 1 mol%, see: (a) M. Rueping and A. P. Antonchick, T. Theissmanna, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 6751; (b) P. Krattiger, R. Kovasy, J. D. Revell, S. Ivan and H. Wennemers, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 2901; (c) M. Terada, K. Machioka and K. Sorimachi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 2254; (d) S. Shirakawa, K. Yamamoto, M. Kitamura, T. Ooi and K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 625; (e) M. Kitamura, S. Shirakawa and K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1549; (f) P. Vachal and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 10012; (g) S. Saaby, M. Bella and A. K. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 8120.
- 10 C. L. Cao, M.-C. Ye, X.-L. Sun and Y. Tang, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 2001
- 11 Due to a lot of precipitate formed in the reaction mixture, this reaction became a little sluggish under no solvent conditions.
- 12 H. Li, Y. Wang, L. Tang, F. Wu, X. Liu, C. Guo, B. M. Foxman and L. Deng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 105.
- 13 At present, we could not rule out the possibility that the sulfonamide proton facilitates the proton shift during the catalytic cycle, see: G. Masson, C. Housseman and J. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 4614.